



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

110

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/730,603	12/08/2003	Kamel M. Shaheen	I-2-0490.1US	4022
24374	7590	10/04/2007		
VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C. DEPT. ICC UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			EXAMINER HOM, SHICK C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/730,603	Applicant(s) SHAHEEN, KAMEL M.	
	Examiner Shick C. Hom	Art Unit 2616	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 July 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 16-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 16-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/16/07</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 7/23/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In page 11 lines 17-20 and page 13 line 22 to page 14 line 5 of the Remarks, applicant argued that Chaskar et al. do not suggest or teach wherein the mobile terminal determines which new access router to connect to is not persuasive because paragraph 0031 recite the mobile terminal performing the selection function in a handoff to access router AR4, paragraph 0039 recite the mobile terminal making the selection of access routers, and paragraph 0044 further recite that each user's mobile terminal can select a different one of the service providers and access routers on the basis of location and capabilities clearly anticipate wherein the mobile terminal determines which new access router to connect to as in claims 16, 20, 24, 28, and 30.

In response to applicant's argument in page 11 line 21 to page 12 line 18 of the Remarks that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is

Art Unit: 2616

some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the motivation for providing the second AP sending to the terminal a re-association success message being that it provides the desirable add feature of more reliability for the system since the terminal receives a re-association success or a not recognized messages to better determine whether the handoff was successful or not.

In response to applicant's arguments in page 12 line 19 to page 13 line 21 of the remarks against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2616

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 16-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chaskar et al. (2004/0196808) in view of Kuehnel et al. (5,907,542).

Chaskar et al. disclose a wireless terminal for use in a wireless network, able to handover a communication from a first access point (AP) associated with a first access router (AR) in a first extended service set (ESS) to a second AP associated with a second AR in a second ESS (In Fig. 2, see the wireless terminal MT, the access points BS1, BS2; the associated access routers AR1, AR2, and the first and second service area SA1, SA2 connected to ISP1 and ISP2 which correspond to the first and second ESS, respectively) the wireless terminal comprising:

in response to losing a connection with the first AP, a device for forming a re-association message which includes an identity of the first AP and the first ESS; and a transmitter for sending the re-association message to the second AP (see the abstract and paragraph 0033 which recite that when the mobile terminal moves from the first service area to the second service

Art Unit: 2616

area, the mobile terminal transmits to the second access router the address of the previous access router)

the second AR, responsive to receiving the information regarding the first AR from the second AP, contacting the first AR; the first AR, responsive to being contacted by the second AR, rerouting traffic for the terminal to the second AR; and the second AR reestablishing a session between the terminal and the second AP (see Fig. 3 and paragraphs 0025-0026, the exchange functions 303 and 305 of AR1 and AR2 being connected to exchange information between the routers for the purpose of handover) as in claims 16, 20, 24, 28-30.

Chaskar et al. disclose all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of the second AP sending to the terminal a re-association success message as in claims 16, 20, 24, and 30; the re-association message further includes an identity of the second AP as in claims 17, 21, 25, 28; the first AR releasing resources in the first ESS that had been used by the terminal and/or reserved for the use of the terminal as in claims 19, 23, and 27; and a distribution system in the second ESS failing to recognize the first AP; the re-association success message indicating to the terminal that the first AP was not recognized; and the terminal initiating the handoff

Art Unit: 2616

procedure in response to receiving the re-association success message as in claims 18, 22, 26.

Kuehnel et al. from the same or similar fields of endeavor teach that it is known to provide the second AP sending to the terminal a re-association success message (see col. 5 lines 13 to col. 6 line 18 which describes the registration processing for associating the terminal to the access point including the transmission of a confirmation message whereby registration may be combined with authentication and accounting clearly reads on the reassociation success message as in claims 16, 20, 24, and 30);

the re-association message further includes an identity of the second AP (see col. 7 lines 27-35 which recite that it is known to provide the identity of the second AP in re-association message as in claims 17, 21, 25, 28);

a distribution system in the second ESS failing to recognize the first AP; the re-association success message indicating to the terminal that the first AP was not recognized; and the terminal initiating the handoff procedure in response to receiving the re-association success message; and the first AR releasing resources in the first ESS that had been used by the terminal and/or reserved for the use of the terminal (see col. 6 lines 57 to col. 7 line 26 recites re-issuing another handover

Art Unit: 2616

request if the request has failed and sending a message to determine which AP the terminal is attached to and the step of freeing pending connections as in claims 18-19, 22-23, and 26-27.

Thus, it would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the second AP sending to the terminal a re-association success message; the re-association message further includes an identity of the second AP; the first AR releasing resources in the first ESS that had been used by the terminal and/or reserved for the use of the terminal; and a distribution system in the second ESS failing to recognize the first AP; the re-association success message indicating to the terminal that the first AP was not recognized; and the terminal initiating the handoff procedure in response to receiving the re-association success message as taught by Kuehnel et al. to the communications method and terminal of Chaskar et al.

The second AP sending to the terminal a re-association success message; the re-association message further includes an identity of the second AP; the first AR releasing resources in the first ESS that had been used by the terminal and/or reserved for the use of the terminal; and a distribution system in the second ESS failing to recognize the first AP; the re-association

Art Unit: 2616

success message indicating to the terminal that the first AP was not recognized; and the terminal initiating the handoff procedure in response to receiving the re-association success message can be implemented by providing the second AP for sending to the terminal a re-association success message; the re-association message including an identity of the second AP; the first AR releasing resources in the first ESS that had been used by the terminal and/or reserved for the use of the terminal; and a distribution system in the second ESS failing to recognize the first AP; the re-association success message indicating to the terminal that the first AP was not recognized; and the terminal initiating the handoff procedure in response to receiving the re-association success message of Kuehnel et al. in the method for handover of Chaskar et al.

The motivation for providing the second AP sending to the terminal a re-association success message; the re-association message further includes an identity of the second AP; the first AR releasing resources in the first ESS that had been used by the terminal and/or reserved for the use of the terminal; and a distribution system in the second ESS failing to recognize the first AP; the re-association success message indicating to the terminal that the first AP was not recognized; and the terminal initiating the handoff procedure in response to receiving the

Art Unit: 2616

re-association success message as taught by Kuehnel et al. in the communication method of Chaskar et al. being that it provides more reliability for the system since the terminal receives a re-association success or a not recognized messages and it provides more efficiency for the system since the identity of the second AP is provided and the first AR releasing resources no longer needed in the system.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shick C. Hom whose telephone number is 571-272-3173. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pham Chi can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


. Application/Control Number: 10/730,603

Page 11

Art Unit: 2616

SH

SH


CHI PHAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

9/28/07